#### Particle fluxes from thunderclouds: measurements and myths.

A.Chilingarian,Yerevan Physics Institute

#### **Origin of Secondary Cosmic Rays**





#### Energy losses and energy gain: RB/RREA process



Gurevich et al., (1992), Symbalisty et al. (1998), Babich et al. (1998), Dwyer (2003), Chilingarian (2014)

Electric field (kV/cm)

#### What are most intriguing problems of High-Energy Physics in Atmosphere (HEPA)?

- TGEs and TGFs: relation and classification
- Do elementary particles born in lightning bolt(neutrons and gamma rays) or only in RREA process?
- **Do we need additional mechanisms of seed particle generation (**Relativistic feedback discharge model (RFDM )?
- Confirmation of the *in situ* detection of the RB/RREA cascades Extensive cloud showers, analog of EASs – Extensive cloud showers (particle fluxes on microsecond scale);
- What can we understand from observed TGEs about cloud electrification: number of charged layers, horizontal and vertical elongation;
- Measure/estimate size of emitting region in the cloud;
- How the lightning switching off the RREA and what switching on TGEs (Role of humidity and precipitation on TGE initiation)?
- How to explain energy spectra of the TGE extended until 100 MeV?
- Do RB/RREA "open" conductive channel to lightning?
- How lightning started Preliminary breakdown process;
- Which lightnings cause maximal Electromagnetic inference (EMI)?
- Do EASs facilitate lightning leader propagation (EAS-RB process)?
- Neutron production by RREA, registration of neutrons on the Earth's surface;



4 km between **Byurakan and Nor Amberd**; 12.8 km between Nor **Amberd and Aragats** stations; **39.1 km between** Yerphi and Aragats station; 26.5 km between Yerphi and Nor Amberd station. **1.Byurakan** Latitude=  $40.3400^{\circ}$  N, Longitude = $44.2703^{\circ}$  E **2.Nor Amberd Station** Latitude= 40.3750, Longitude =44.2640**3.** Aragats Station Latitude = 40.4713, Longitude =44.1819**4.Yerevan Physics** Institute Latitude= 40.2067 6 Longitude =44.4857

#### **STAND1 and EFM networks**







Huge TGE of 19 September, 2009 was detected by all ASEC monitors : ASNT (10) – > 5cm (1) and 60 (0)cm thick; ASNT (01) – 5cm (0) and 60 (1)cm

ASNT (01) - 5cm (0) and 60 (1)cm thick;

ASNT (11) – electrons E>25 MeV -19 September event is only event with high energy



A. Chilingarian, A.Daryan, K.Arakelyan, et al., Groundbased observations of thunderstorm-correlated fluxes of high-energy electrons, gamma rays, and neutrons, Phys.Rev. D., 82, 043009, 2010



MAKET – a surface array continuously detects Extensive Air showers (EAS)selecting shower axes from area of ~10000 m.sq., Primary particle energy ~100-1000 TeV;

At 19 September 2009 MAKET detects new type of showers – Extensive cloud showers (ECS), Gurevich call it Micro Runaway breakdown (MRB).

ECS (MRB) is short coherent particle burst (within 0.4 µsec) from one Runaway electron; Plenty of ECSs make a TGE lasting several minutes;

ECSs (MRB) can be distinguished from EASs by the lower density.



# Density spectra of 2 classes: ECS (with ~20% EAS contamination) and pure EAS



12

#### **Detection of TGE neutrons**





## Model: The electric field at ground due to the vertical tripole





ASEC (Aragats Space Environmental Center; 3200m a.s.l.)







## **GEANT4 simple simulation**

- Uniform electric field above Aragats from 5,000 down to 3400- 3200 m, field strength varied 0.8 -2 kV/cm;
- Seed particles Cosmic Ray electrons generated by EXPACS WEB-calculator (PARMA model), energies 0.1 -300 MeV;
- Thinning of atmosphere with height was introduced as well;
- All electromagnetic processes in GEANT4 were switched on.

The electron and gamma energy spectra from electrons accelerated in electric field of 1.8 kV/cm prolonged from 5000 till 3400 m with 1 MeV electron as seeds.



MOdification of CR electrons energy Spectra (MOS process) – electric field strength is below RREA threshold; seed particles – CR electrons with energies up to 100 and 300 MeV



## Comparison of background gamma ray spectrum with the MOS gamma rays spectrum



The MOS gamma rays energy spectra comparing with gamma ray "background" spectra on altitude 3200 m. SCR electrons were accelerated in the homogenous field of strength 1.18 kV/cm below the critical field for the RREA initiation

### STAND multilayered Detector



#### Cube Detector vetoing charged particles



#### Nal network 7 detectors 12.5 x 12.5 x 25 each; one vertical 5 horizontal, one - outdoors

Material	Radiation	length	Density		
	g/cm2	cm	g/cm3		
Polystyr. scint.	43.72	42.4	1.032		
Cesium iodide (CzI)	8.39	1.85	4.53		
Sodium iodide (Nal)	9.49	2.59	3.67		

Nal – matter above Nal sensitive volume (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>): Al(800)+MgO(300)+Fe(400)=1500 Energy threshold for detecting TGE electrons – 3-4 MeV; Threshold to detect Gamma rays was the same, from 2015 – 0.4 MeV.









May 27, 2014; Time (UT)

TGE terminated by lightning at the maximum of the flux; red – disturbances of electrostatic field; blue – distance to lightning (~2 km); green small circles are the codes (density of particles) of MAKET-16 array. We expect TGE flux maximum at maximal electric field in cloud and, therefore, at maximal LPCR. Maximal LPCR prevents –CG (Nag and Rakov, 2009); thus we expect

TGE termination only on beginning and decaying stages, but we have equal events also at maximum.





## Large TGE May 4; 3 minutes before Lightning (RREA +MOS)



### **TGE and Lightning**

### Lightnings are common on Aragats



## On 28 August 2015 we detect ~100 positive lightnings on Aragats





#### **12 Lightnings terminated TGE**



32

#### 2016 TGEs occurs at prolonged (3-7 min)deep negative electrostatic field(~ -30 kV/m); lightning abruptly terminates TGE; largest TGEs occurred when there is no nearby lightnings.



1-minute energy spectra of large TGE observed by NaI spectrometers; Start at 19:00, maximum at 19:03 and decay at 19:05. Runaway electrons accelerated in the thundercloud were abruptly terminated by lightning



20-second energy release spectra in 60 cm thick plastic scintillator before the lightning occurred at 19:04:33; the enhancement of count rate in the first 13 ADC channels (1.62- 5.09 MeV) to be compared with CR variance

(red): no enhancements after lightning!

ADC code	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Energy(MeV)	1,62	1,78	1,96	2,16	2,37	2,61	2,87	3,16	3,47	3,82	4,2	4,62	5,09
CR mean	1037,5	1158,8	739,9	823,3	871,7	770,9	670,2	604,3	557	534,3	478	443,2	416,4
CR rmse	40,0	30,1	25,1	35,7	24,8	26,7	24,6	25,8	16,7	28,9	26,3	7,0	26,4
19:04:15 - 19:04:34	207,5	164,2	51,1	182,7	174,3	117,1	139,8	163,7	120	112,7	113	88,8	85,6
19:04:15- 19:04:54	-28,5	15,2	35,1	-4,3	27,3	22,1	28,8	66,7	4	-18,3	43	12,8	-23,4






From this picture (SKL STAND1 three layers and SKL field) is apparent that sharp decrease in 50 msec time series of particle flux abrupt started at 14:45:07.175, 175 ms after lightning, and after picoscope trigger!



# One-minute accuracy timing of 2 peak the TGE; flux enhancement 21% (42σ) and 11.7% (23.7σ)



One-second accuracy timing of the negative lightning amplitude (70 kV/m), distance (1.9 km by EFM-100 and 0.9 km by WWLLN) and decline of particle flux(15% in a second)



## Millisecond accuracy timing of the trigger from digital oscilloscope; negative lightning and disturbances of electrostatic field



# Huge TGE on 28 July observed by all ASEC facilities



1

# Microsecond accuracy temporal sequence: trigger (initial breakdown)-lightning -rearrangement of the electric field in cloud



Trigger	Lightning	El.field disturb/ field (kV/m)	El.field disturb/field	Comments	IC- lightning, 12:0931.2
12:09:31.077	12:09:31.188	12:09:31.35/16.5	12:09:31.4/-12.5	positive:29 kV/m in 50 ms	
12:11:49.437	12:11:49.540	12:11:49.680/11.3	12:11:49.780/-26.2	positive:38.5 kV/m in 100 ms	the second second second
12:12:48.928	12:12:48.940	12:12:49.080/4.5	12:12:49.230/-18.5	positive:23 kV/m in 150 ms	
12:14:01.765	12:14:01.795	12:14:01.930/-3.5	12:14:02.030/-16	positive: 12.5 kV/m in 100 ms	
12:15:18.770	-	12:15:19.030/2.3	12:15:19.230/9.7	negative: 7.4 kV/m in 200 ms no EMI in 3 cm thick scint. SKL	



### **EMI and genuine particles response**





m

#### Synchronized time series (Pico files); atmospheric discharge registered in all 3 time series demonstrates synchronization accuracy not worse than 1µs; 50.0 -50.0 Muon detector upper Time series of 2 particle detectors located in the MAKET building and 150.0 - attached to the Pico-myRio DAQ -250.0 system 5μs 350.0 Neutron monitor counter 2 450.0 550.0 w • × 0.75 9 ms after myRio MAKET trigger at 1:25:24.187227 750. 01.25 765.0 770.0 775.0 790.0 795.0 800.0 805.0 810.0 815.0 6531 Lightning detector in the





## Several EASs detected by Aragats Neutron Monitor



# muon monitor, 1 detector neutron monitor, 9 detector 4 **ದ**



August 10, 2016 10:19:25







# Lightnings and particle fluxes

- During numerous thunderstorm on Aragats there were no particles fluxes registered simultaneously with lightnings;
- Near 20 events were detected when lightning abruptly terminate particle flux from clouds;
- Investigations of pulses from particle detectors and atmospheric discharges prove that all pulses from detectors are electromagnetic interferences (EMI) because:
- 1. only some of particle detectors show pulses, for instanced in stacked detectors upper scintillators don't count any peaks and the third bottom detector demonstrate huge peak;
- 2. all peaks consists from bipolar pulses, pulses from genuine particles have unipolar shape;
- 3. large EASs hitting neutron monitor generate genuine multiple peaks without any relation to lightning.
- Only confirmed by fast electronics particle pulses can be accepted as genuine.
- Observed on Aragats fluxes of electrons, gamma rays and neutrons can be explain with standard RREA + MOS theory with CR electron seeds. Lightnings do not generate high-energy particles!

# TGE and TGF

- TGE and TGF are not processes generated particles, terms are related to the observations and not to mechanism. It is particle registration phenomena on the Earth and in the space. In both cases only RREA/RB process generate particles; To call particle fluxes registered on the Earth's surface TGF is out of logic, they are TGEs!
- We don't need RDFM (Feedback discharge model) for explaining TGE;
- We don't need "cold runaway" injected additional seed electrons for explaining TGE;
- Duration of the particle flux is not essential it is only long or short superposition of very brief cascades from seed electron (ESC and MRB) in strong electric field inside thundercloud;

## Miscelaneous

# Atmospheric Polarization LIDAR System



LIDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) system is designed primarily for remote sensing of the atmospheric electric fields. At present, the system is being tuned for measuring vertical atmospheric backscatter profiles of aerosols and hydrometeors, analyze the depolarization ratio of elastic backscattered laser beams and investigate the influence of external factors on the beam.

#### **Electron Integral Energy spectra for 2 largest TGEs**



# Energy Spectra of RREA gamma-rays: 19 September 2009(blue), 4 October 2010 (green and red)



The number of gamma-rays is ~100,000 and ~40,000 particles/sq.m.min., for the October 4 and September 19 events respectively. For the October 4 TGE, we have recovered the gamma-ray spectrum at energies 5-10 MeV by outdoor Cube detector. The spectrum is harder for low energy gammas -1.9±0.4 and the number of particles 5-10 MeV is 250,000 particles/sq.m.min. Agile :cumulative: -2.7; -0.5 <sub>58</sub>

TGE events occur almost every day: they are small because humidity is below 80% and temperature 5-6 degrees; at any TGE humidity go up and temperature down and of course the electric field is in negative domain, rising sometimes from deep negative to positive values.



#### Extensive Cloud Showers (ECS) extended more than Extensive Air Showers (EAS)



An unusual TGE accompanied with the positive nearby lightning. During a short TGE, the near-surface field was in the positive domain (~37 kV/m). The nearby positive lightning (~2 km) at the end of TGE caused the near-surface field to decline from 32 to −31 kV/m.

It is interesting to note that this positive lightning does not terminate the particle flux as the negative ones do.





Proceedings of International Symposium/TEPA-2015

10

æ

Proceedings of International Symposium THUNDERSTORMS & ELEMENTARY PARTICLE ACCELERATION

80 90 000 000

rever



Yerevan Physics Institute Nor Amberd, Armenia

5-9 October, **2015** 



## Recovered TGE flux intensities (>4 MeV) – May 4, 19:01-19:04

Time	e intensity (1/m <sup>2</sup> min)	γ intensity (1/m <sup>2</sup> min)	e/γ (%)
19:01	288	14452	2.0
19:02	948	22064	4.3
19:03	1240	26336	4.7
19:04	500	17112	2.9









#### Origin of TGE neutrons (photonuclear reactions: in lead or in atmosphere)



10

# <image>

# Recovering of spectra on 27 May, 2014 at 9:03:

 $N(20 \text{ cm}) = N_{\rm e}p(20 \text{ cm/e}) + N_{\rm g}p(20 \text{ cm/g})$ 

 $N^{\rm v}(20 \text{ cm}) = N_{\rm e} p^{\rm v}(20 \text{ cm/e}) + N_{\rm g} p^{\rm v}(20 \text{ cm/g}),$ 

#### Upper

I(e)=91\*4 [1/m<sup>2</sup>min] = 364 I(γ) = 6771\*4 = 27084 I(e)/I(γ) = 1.3%

#### Bottom I(e)=48\*4 [1/m<sup>2</sup>min] = 192 I(γ) = 3850\*4 = 15400 I(e)/I(γ) = 1.2%

0.99x+0.2y=760, 0.02x+0.2y=435	ý 6
n m m m	E Examples of Rando
[0.99 x + 0.2 y = 760, 0.02 x + 0.2 y = 435]	
Solution:	Z Step-by-step solutio
$x \approx 335.052$ , $y \approx 2141.49$	66

 $p(20 \text{ cm/e}) = 0.99 \quad p(20 \text{ cm/g}) = 0.2$   $p(1 \text{ cm/e}) = 0.98 \quad p(1 \text{ cm/g}) = 0.02$   $p^{v}(20 \text{ cm/e}) = (1-p(1 \text{ cm/e}))p(20 \text{ cm/e})$  = (1-0.98)0.99 = 0.0198  $p^{v}(20 \text{ cm/g}) = (1-p(1 \text{ cm/g}))p(20 \text{ cm/g})$ = (1-0.02)0.2 = 0.196.

http://www.wolframalpha.com/tour/w hat-is-wolframalpha.html Characteristics of a sample of a positive lightning occurrences on 28 August 2015 at 12:19 – 12:23

- Mean electric field before the start of the lightning ~ 8-15 kV/m;
- Typical values of the drop of electric field ~ -30 -40 kV/m.
- After reaching its minimum, the near-surface electric field slowly returned to the pre-lightning values due to continuous charge separation processes in the cloud in 21-31 seconds;
- Time from the start of electric field sharp decrease till its minimum was ~ 0.1 – 0.65 sec;
- Distance to lightning was ~ 3-8 km.

The typical features of the lightning lowering negative charge in the middle layer (-CG or \_IC) lightnings terminated TGE are:

- Mean rise time of the near-surface electrostatic field ~ 242 ± 88 ms;
- Only negative lightnings terminate TGE;
- Lightnings terminate TGE equally on beginning maximum and decay stages;
- Mean field recovery time (FWHM) ~ 4.3 ± 2.3 sec;
- Mean particle flux drop 37 ± 23%;
- Mean field surge -60 ± 19 kV/m;
- Mean distance to lightning ~ 5.3 ± 2.9 km.

Video: Aragats Lightnings





TGE terminated by lightning at the maximum of the flux; red – disturbances of electrostatic field; blue – distance to lightning (~2 km); green small circles are the codes (density of particles) of MAKET-16 array. We expect TGE flux maximum at maximal electric field in cloud and, therefore, at maximal LPCR. Maximal LPCR prevents –CG (Nag and Rakov, 2009); thus we expect

TGE termination only on beginning and decaying stages, but we have equal events also at maximum.





# Fast electric field waveforms of lightning detected at 19:04:33, May 4,2016



Fast electric field, May 4, 2016 19:04:34


## May 15, 2016, 15:48:25. Zoom of particle detection signal.



